

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04245 072109Z

66
ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 ERDA-05 CIAE-00 EUR-12 H-02 INR-07

IO-10 L-03 NSAE-00 OIC-02 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-03 PRS-01

SAJ-01 SAM-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 TRSE-00 NSC-05 MC-02

/090 W
----- 120577

R 071847Z AUG 75
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 3052
SECDEF WASHDC
INFO USDEL MBFR VIENNA
AMEMBASSY BONN
AMEMBASSY
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR

S E C R E T USNATO 4245

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR
SUBJECT: MBFR: OPTION III: SPC DISCUSSION AUGUST 7

REFS: A. USNATO 3970 DTG 291355Z JUL 75
B. USNATO 3985 DTG 291832Z JUL 75
C. USNATO 3982 DTG 291735Z JUL 75
D. UNSATO 4096 DTG 051253Z AUG 75
E. STATE 182853
F. STATE 182850
G. VIENNA 9650 DTG NOV 73

1. SPC ON AUGUST 7 MET ON THE DRAFT GUIDANCE AND DRAFT SUPPLEMENT ON
OPTION III. REFERENCES TO THESE DRAFT DOCUMENTS IN THIS MESSAGE ARE TO
THE VATIONS TRANSMITTED RES A, B AND CM WE SHALL TRANSMIT REVISED
TEXTS, EMBODYING CHANGES SINCE TRANSMISSION OF REFS A, B AND C BY
SEPTEL.

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 04245 072109Z

2. FRG REP (BOSS) STATED THAT BONN COULD NOT ACCEPT THE DUTCH
LANGUAGE; PROPOSED AT THE PREVIOUS MEETING FOR INSERTION IN PARA 3
OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE AND PARA 3(BIS) OF THE SUPPLEMENT (PARA2, REF
D), (COMMENT: THAT WAS THE LANGUAGE WHICH SOUGHT TO LEAVE

OPEN THE POSSIBILITY OF FURTHER REDUCTIONS OF NUCLEAR ELEMENTS OR
NON-US ALLIED EQUIPMENT IN PHASE II.) HE DID NOT ECUCIDATE. UK REP
(BAILES) SAID THAT LONDON ALSO COULD NOT ACCEPT THE DUTCH LANGUAGE.

THE UK BELIEVING THE DUTCH LANGUAGE WOULD GIVE THE OTHER SIDE A
"STRING TO PULL" IN PRESSING THE WEST ON ARMAMENTS REDUCTIONS. THE
DUTCH LANGUAGE WOULD PREJUDICE THE VEY QUESTION IT SOUGHT TO LEAVE
OPEN. NETHERLANDS REP (BUWALDA) SAID THAT HIS AUTHORITIES FEEL
VERY STRONGLY ON THIS POINT, AND ARE UNLIKELY TO ACCEPT DELETION
OF THE PRHRASE THEY HAVE PROPOSED.

3. DANISH REP (VILLADSEN) ON PERSONAL BASIS SUPPORTED US REFERENCE
IN PARA 3 OF THE DRAFTT GUIDANCE TO CONCENTRATING ON "GROUND
FORCES", AND OPPOSED THE FRG REFERENCE TO "GROUND FORCE MANPOWER".
THE FORMER WAS THE ALLIED POSITION AND FRG CONCERN ABOUT
ARMAMENTS REDUCTIONS IS MET IN THE FOLLOWING SENTENCE.
FRG REP REITERATED FRG WISH TO UNDERLINE THROUGH THIS
LANGUAGE THAT OPTION III DID NOT CHANGE MBFR INTO A NEGOTIATION
ON ARMAMENTS. (FRG IS SUPPORTED ONLY BY ITALY ON THIS
LANGUAGE.)

4. FRG REP AND UK REP BOTH SAID THEIR AUTHORITIES AGREE WITH THE
US VIEWS STATED AT THE PREVIOUS MEETING (PARAS 1 A-C, REF E) ON
THE BELGIAN " MINIMALIST POSITION ON CEILINGS AND CONSTRAINTS.

5. FRG REP QUESTIONED THE US VIEW, EXPRESSED AT THE PREVIOUS
MEETING, THAT THE US VERSION OF PARAS 4-9 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE
OFFERED THE AHG MORE FLEXIBILITY IN PRESENTING CEILIINGS ISSUES
TO THE OTHER SIDE. US REP (PEREZ) REITERATED THE ADVANTAGES
IN US VERSION IN RELATING LIMITS ON SOVIETS TANKS TO
LIMITS ON NUCLEAR ELEMENTS (PARA 2, REF F), AND NOTED RIGID
FRG SCEANARIO RE US TANKS AND SOVIET NUCLEAR ELEMENTS. UK REP SAID
LONDON HAD REAFFIRMED ITS SUPPORT FOR THE US VERSION OF PARAS 4-9.
ALTHOUGH HER AUTHORITIES HAD NOT SPECIFICALLY COMMENTED ON THE FRG
VERSION OF THESE PARAS, IT WAS CLEAR THA THE US VERSION
CREATES MORE OF A SEPARATION BETWEEN TANKS AND NUCLEAR
ELEMENTS, AND OFFERS AHG MORE FlixIBILITY IN PRESENTATION.

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 04245 072109Z

6. UK REP NOTED THAT THE US AT THE PREVIOUS MEETING HAD
CRITIZED THE UK SECOND AND THIRD BRACKETED PHRASES IN PARA 7 OF THE
US VERSION OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE. THE UK DID NOT CONSIDER THE MEANING
OF THESE PHRASES TO BE MUCH DIFFERENT FROM THE ORIGINAL US LANGUAGE
AND THEREFORE TH UK WAS WILLING TO DROP THE TWO PHRASES. HOWEVER,
THE UK CONTINUED TO MAINTAIN PARA 8(BIS) OF THE DRAFT
SUPPLEMENT. THE LATTER PARA REPRESENTS THE STRONGLY
HELD UK VIEW ON DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN LIMITATIONS IMPLIED
BY EXISTING ALLIED PHASE I PROPOSAL, AND LIMITATIONS ARISING
FROM OPTION III. SHE CALLED ATTENTION TO PARAS 2 AND 3
OF UK PAPER ON CEILINGS. FRG REP STATED THAT BONN AGREED WITH
US THAT PARA 8(BIS) OF THE DRAFT SUPPLEMENT SHOULD BE DELETED.

7. FRG REP NOTED THAT FRG HAD PROPOSED AT PREVIOUS MEETING AMENDING PARA 1 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE TO PROVIDE THAT THE 29,000 US FORCES AND THE SOVIET TANK ARMY WITHDRAWN IN PHASE I SHOULD RETURN TO HOMELANDS.

HE NOTED THAT US REP HAD QUESTIONED INCLUSION OF THIS POINT

THE SECOND AND THIRD TCS OF PARA 1 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE, ON GROUNDS THAT THOSE TICS WERE LABLEED AS PROPOSALS ALREADY MADE TO THE OTHER SIDE, AND ON GROUND THAT THE POINT WAS ALREADY MADE IN THE SUPPLEMENT HE ASKED IF THE ALLIES WOULD NOT WISH TO MAKE THAT POINT TO THE OTHER SIDE AT THE OUTSET.

8. COMMENT: FRG'S CPECIFIC SUGGESTION FOR AMENDING THE SECOND AND THIRD TICS OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE WAS TO INSERT AFTER "REDUCTIONS" IN THE SECOND TIC THE PHRASE "TO THE UNITED STATES," AND TO INSERT AFTER "REDUCTIONS" IN THE THIRD TIC THE PHRASE "TO THE USSR". WE NOTE THAT THE ALLIED FRAMEWORK PROPOSAL OF NOVEMBER 20, 1973, (PO/73/154) DID NOT SPECIFICALLY STATE THIS ALLIED POSITION TO THE EAST, ALTHOUGH IT SEEMS IMPLICIT IN THE SEDCOND PARA OF PARA 7 OF THAT DOCUMENT. THE LANGUAGE IN PARA 13 OF THE US REP'S STTEMENT OF NOVEMBER22, 1973 (REF G) IS CLEARER ON THIS POINT, AND SIMILAR LANGUAGE HAS BEEN USED WITH THE EAST SINCE THAT TIME. ACTION REQUESTED: CAN WASHINGTON ACCEPT THE AMENDMENT TO PARA 1 OF THE DRAFT GUIDANCE SUGGESTED BY THE FRG?

SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 04245 072109Z

9. SPC, AS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED, WILL RECESS THE WEEKS OF AUGUST 11 AND AUGUST 18. THE NEXT SPC MEETING ON OPTION IIII WILL BE ON MONDAY, AUGUST25.

STREATOR

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptoning: X
Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 07 AUG 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: izenbei0
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO04245
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750897/abbrzljx.tel
Line Count: 146
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. USNATO 3970 DTG 291355Z JUL 75 B. USNATO 3985 DTG 291832Z JUL 75 C. USNATO 4096 DTG 051253Z AUG 75 E. STATE 182853 F. STATE 182850 D. USNATO 3982 DTG 291735Z JUL 75.
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: izenbei0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 07 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <07 APR 2003 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <24 SEP 2003 by izenbei0>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: OPTION III: SPC DISCUSSION AUGUST 7
TAGS: PARM, NATO, MBFR
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO MBFR VIENNA
BONN
AMEMBASSY
USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006